



Capt Parveen Vij,
R/o H No-337, Sector-21,
Panchkula.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs,
Pungrain, Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C,
Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Pungrain, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2399 of 2021

PRESENT: **None for the Appellant**
 Sh.Kamaldeep Singh, Sr Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 06.01.2021 has sought information regarding the charter of duties assigned to controller food accounts in relation to CFA's role in PUNGRAIN since the inception of PUNGRAIN in 2002-03 – any amendments, and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 16.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 12.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 01.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent is present at Chandigarh and pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 27.01.2022.

The appellant is absent nor is represented. There is nothing on record that reveals that the appellant has pointed out any discrepancies after receiving the information on 27.01.2022. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Dr. Neeru Guliani,
VPO Baijnath, Distt Kangra,
Himachal Pradesh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Ayurveda,
Pb, Sector-11-D, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Health and Family welfare,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2295 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Gurpreet Singh, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 02.10.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the order passed by the Principal Secretary on 09.11.2011 vide which appeal filed by the appellant was dismissed – a copy of the order dated 25.09.2013 of principal secretary on the appeal - a copy of the letter written by Director Ayurveda on 24.03.2017 – a copy of the letter dated 26.04.2017 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Ayurveda, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 24.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 12.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties were absent.

The Commission received a copy of the letter dated 02.09.2021 from the PIO vide which the PIO informed that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 23.06.2021 and again on 02.09.2021.

The appellant vide letter received in the Commission on 29.09.2021 informed that she had received the information but with a delay of 257 days which should have been provided within 30 days as specified under section 7(1) of the RTI Act.

The PIO was directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with an explanation.

Hearing dated 01.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the dealing persons who were handling the case were transferred and during the period of charge from one dealing person to another dealing person, the concerned file was misplaced and the information was delayed.

Appeal Case No. 2295 of 2021

The Commission does not consider the record missing until an enquiry is conducted and the enquiry report is submitted which establishes that the record is missing and the responsibility has been fixed for the person under whose custody the record went missing.

I am marking the case to the Director, Ayurveda, Pb with the direction to enquire into the matter and fix the accountability of the person(s) under whose custody the record was misplaced as per department rules.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Surjit Singh, S/o Sh.Gokul Singh,
VPO Jarg, Tehsil Payal,
Distt. Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairman,
Punjab State Schedule Caste Commission,
4th Floor, Civil Secretariat,
Sector-1, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chairman,
Punjab State Schedule Caste Commission,
4th Floor, Civil Secretariat,
Sector-1, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2277 of 2021

PRESENT: None for complainant
 Sh.Harwinder Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 03.02.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 23.01.2021 against an alleged false statement given by DPI SAS Nagar alongwith statement and rules and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Chairman, Pb State SC Commission Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up on 12.10.2021 today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 03.03.2021.

The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies to the PIO, if any, and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing to pursue his case.

Hearing dated 01.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali/Ludhiana. The respondent present informed that the information has already been provided to the appellant and the appellant has not communicated any discrepancies.

The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor is represented as well as not communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Bittu S/o Sh Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, P.O Sahibana,
Chandigarh Road, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Room No-324, Third Floor, Mini Secretariat,
Sector-9-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 821 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 01.05.2021 has sought information regarding documents attached with the application for getting an appointment by Smt.Amanjot Kaur Inspector Food & Civil Supply Sahnewal comprising education, residence, date of birth – education got after joining –promotion details and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Principal Secretary, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 28.06.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the information that has been sought by the appellant is of personal in nature and the appellant has brought nothing on record which establishes that the revelation of information has any larger public interest and does not relate to any public activity.

However, the PIO is directed to provide information on point-4 to the appellant.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur,
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 857 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 10.05.2021 has sought information regarding the purchase of covid related items from Jan 2020 comprising sanitiser, soap, handwash, medicines and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 01.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur,
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 859 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Ramandeep Kaur for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 26.04.2021 has sought information regarding the number of quarters allotted to staff and their designation and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 01.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Kulwant Singh, S/o Sh Pritam Singh,
VPO Pohir, Tehsil & Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairman
Scheduled Caste Commission,
4th Floor, Room No-8,
Punjab Civil Secretariat-1,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 874 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Kulwant Singh as the Appellant
Ms.Jaswinder Kaur, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 04.02.2021 has sought information regarding Sarpanch Ravdeep Singh, Rajvir Singh and Dharampal Singh relating to illegal encroachment and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Chairman, Scheduled Caste Commission, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 09.04.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 06.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that they have sought a report from the office of SSP Ludhiana vide letter dated 28.04.2021 followed by reminders dated 06.08.2021, 17.09.2021, 17.11.2021 & 28.12.2021 but the same has yet not been received and the reply has been sent to the complainant.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the RTI application is vague and does not specify any particular information that the appellant seeks as per the definition of section 2 of the RTI Act.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur,
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3012 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Naresh Kumar, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 05.12.2020 has sought information on 05 points regarding the date of joining of Dr.Gurpreet Singh and Seema Rani Food Safety Officer, Mansa, their previous appointment, place of posting – documents attached with the application for getting an appointment and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

As per the respondent, the information is 3rd party and the 3rd party has not given its consent to disclose the information hence the information was not provided.

I have gone through the RTI application and find that the information sought in the RTI application is personal in nature and the appellant has brought nothing on record that shows that there is a larger public interest involved in disclosing this information.

However, the PIO is directed to provide information on point-1 and point-5 to the appellant.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Kuldeep Kumar,
5-C, Phase-1, Urban Estate,
Focal Point, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Social Justice and Empowerment,
And Minorities Officer, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director, Social Justice and Empowerment,
And Minorities Officer. SCO-6,
Phase-1, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3171 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Harish Kumar Tehsil Welfare Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 08.03.2021 has sought information on 07 points regarding a copy of enquiry cum action taken report 2020 – a copy of receipt register – a copy of all communication issued and received from Kulwant Kaur – noting files – action take report – statement of Kulwant Kaur and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Social Justice, Empowerment & Minority Officer, Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 18.03.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.05.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that they had received the RTI application on 09.03.2021 and the appellant was asked to vide letter dated 18.03.2021 to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.280/- which he deposited on 23.03.2021. As per the respondent, the information was provided to the appellant on 27.04.2021. The appellant thereafter pointed out some discrepancies relating to points 3 to 7. However, since the enquiry in the case of Smt. Kuldeep Kaur (relating to the SC certificate for which the appellant had sought enquiry report) was not complete and the Smt.Kuldeep Kaur had filed a writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court and in Lok Adalat Ludhiana, the information was not provided.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

I have gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO and find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and the information has been provided to the best possible extent. However, the PIO is directed to provide a copy of the rule relating to point-7.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner